What is the Public Interest Law Center?

A private, non-profit law firm based on the premise that all interests are best served when the interests of those who are least powerful in our society are effectively represented. Unless ordinary citizens have skilled, experienced and resourceful counsel on important public questions they will be ignored, at best, or victimized.

To have the greatest impact Public Interest Law Center lawsuits are usually class actions — cases in which groups of people and their organizations are represented.

We are not just courtroom lawyers. Like private law firms we provide client organizations with continuing counsel in legislative, administrative and public activities to achieve their objectives and effect institutional change.

The firm acts as advocate for and counsel to a broad range of client groups whose constituencies are diverse, but who find unity in their common battle against discrimination and abuse of power. Some of the groups for whom we have acted as special or general counsel are:

- Asociacion Puertorriqueños En Marcha
- Aspira de Pennsylvania
- Community Service Center for the Deaf
- Disabled in Action of Pennsylvania
- Friends of the Earth of Delaware Valley
- Grey Panthers
- NAACP (all Philadelphia branches)
- National Council of Senior Citizens
- Paralyzed Veterans of America
- Pennsylvania Association of Older Persons
- Pennsylvania Association of Retarded Citizens
- Philadelphia Council of Neighborhood Organizations
- Philadelphia NOW
- Philadelphia P.U.S.H.
- Resurrection
- Sierra Club

Some People the Law Center Represents

Seven persons saw three policemen smash through a porch door and beat EDGARDO ORTIZ with nightsticks and a black jack and continue to beat him after he was handcuffed. The 26 year old father of three with no prior police record was treated for serious injuries of the head, neck, back and legs at Episcopal Hospital after being beaten further and taunted for being hispanic at the police station. No disciplinary action has been taken by the Philadelphia Police Department or District Attorney against any of the officers involved.

Twenty-five year old JOYCE BROCK has cerebral palsy requiring her to use crutches and a wheelchair. She is one of 13 million Americans, otherwise mobile, who cannot physically climb on and off the standard public bus. A graduate of Penn State University, she was forced to withdraw from Graduate School in Social Work because she could not use available public transportation to travel to school and field-work placement. She has to pay $25 a week out of her $135/month disability income for private transportation to physical therapy treatments.

LARRY is a 10 year old boy who arrived in Pennhurst State Hospital as a baby. Left alone without toys or attention, he spends his days in the middle of a bare cell, one hand strapped to his waist to prevent him from hitting his own face in desperation and boredom. One of his eyes has already been destroyed.

MOSES DICKERSON, qualified as a welder in Alabama, was not permitted even to take a welding test at U.S. Steel's Fairless Plant but was assigned to janitorial services. Other black workers represented by PILCOP were three times more likely than white workers to be assigned to the Open Hearth section of the plant, in part because one personnel manager felt that "blacks can take the heat better" according to testimony in federal court.

All are victims of discrimination based on stereotypes.
What Does the Public Interest Law Center Do?

Any organization is best judged by its deeds. Here are a few examples of what we have done.

**Jobs: The Heart Of Social Justice.** Employment discrimination — because of race, sex, national origin, handicap or age — and the supply of jobs is central to the concerns of the Law Center's clients and hence to the Law Center's work.

The federal Equal Employment Opportunity Commission called our employment discrimination program "the best project of its kind." The Law Center recruited and trained over 100 Philadelphia lawyers and then screened, referred, and sometimes participated as co-counsel in, over 250 discrimination cases.

The Law Center now focuses on employment cases of exceptional impact or which develop new areas of the law, such as:

*Dickerson v. U.S. Steel*, brought as part of the NAACP's national attack on discrimination in the industry has been strenuously contested as the first case to come to trial. After 58 days of testimony by experts and employees the federal district court upheld our prima facie case of discrimination and conspiracy between the company and union to deprive employees of their civil rights. The trial, completed in September and awaiting final decision, was the longest in the entire history of the federal court in Philadelphia.

In the case of *Zichy v. Philadelphia* we secured a judgment assuring pregnancy benefits from one of the largest employers of women in the state. Following the reversal in law wrought by the Supreme Court in *Gilbert v. General Electric* we successfully protected the opportunity to maintain that award on state law grounds in the Court of Appeals. We are also representing about 20 women's groups and labor organizations (including the Pennsylvania Federation of Teachers, AFT, AFL-CIO and the Philadelphia Labor Council, AFL-CIO Women's Rights Committee) in Commonwealth Court to see to it that Pennsylvania law continues to require pregnancy benefits.

Litigation has begun (*Taylor v. Department of Labor*) to enforce and make meaningful the federal government's Philadelphia Plan, designed to end discrimination in the construction trades. This case seeks effective enforcement mechanisms, including community monitoring systems to be operated by our clients who are organizations of minority workers.

The Law Center has analyzed discrimination against Hispanics in CETA and Public Works programs and the police department preparatory to litigation on behalf of the grossly under-employed Spanish-speaking community.

The Law Center won a judgment on behalf of people with epilepsy holding federal handicapped employment statutes enforceable in the Courts (*Drennon v. PGH*). We are handling 40 cases of employment discrimination against disabled people and have won all 18 which have come to a decision in federal and state administrative processes.

We provide continuing counsel to South Philadelphia's Older and Middle Age Worker Employment Discrimination Ombudsman Project, and on its behalf supported an attack on mandatory retirement in the United States Supreme Court.

We are developing — with community organizations, labor, women's and minority groups and environmentalists — activities to expand the supply of jobs in the Philadelphia area including suits to enforce recent federal laws giving a priority in procurement contracts to labor surplus areas.
Community Services, Not Asylums. In a trial which a Philadelphia official called "the best seminar on community services and on the capabilities of retarded people ever conducted," the Law Center represented clients seeking to end the chronic institutional abuse and warehousing of retarded people by closing the Pennhurst State Mental Hospital. The case, awaiting decision in October 1977, would require that services for the 1,200 residents of Pennhurst be provided in small scale facilities and living arrangements integrated into the community.

We have advised attorneys in nearly 30 state cases requiring the creation of services in the community, drafted a Mental Retardation Procedures Act and a Community Services Act for Pennsylvania and counseled many organizations in developing statewide systems of advocacy and independent living.

With respect to the elderly we have brought together more than 50 organizations, with a combined membership of more than 100,000 senior citizens, into a coalition to fight for community services instead of institutional care for the infirm elderly. We have also several suits pending to require provision of services to elderly persons in the least restrictive environment consistent with meeting their needs.
Access to Transportation: The Most Improved, Highest Technology, Accessible Bus. In a two-year battle against General Motors and many transit authorities, 14 national and Pennsylvania organizations of the elderly and the disabled represented by the Law Center won a decision from the Secretary of Transportation requiring transit authorities to buy only the fully accessible low-floor, ramped TRANSBUS, effective in 1979. This victory came after seven courts had rejected related claims of the disabled handled by other counsel.

The National Academy of Engineering called TRANSBUS "the most desirable bus, the most easy and comfortable to use" for all riders. The stakes in the TRANSBUS case were enormous: 75 percent of the people who ride public transportation use buses, and 13 million elderly and disabled persons cannot ride present buses at all. In the course of the battle the Law Center won two Court of Appeals cases (including the first decision construing Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, known as the Civil Rights Act for the Disabled) and numerous Congressional, administrative and public skirmishes.

Education: A Child's Right to Learn and Parents' Rights to Guide the Child's Schooling. A lawsuit which gained for handicapped children in Pennsylvania the right to free public education fitted the needs of each child (PARE v. Commonwealth) sparked dozens of similar suits across the country and resulted also in the passage of three Acts of Congress.

This legislation restores to parents a place in the design of their child's education and in overseeing its delivery. It requires the assessment of each child's learning strengths and styles, the specification of teaching goals and techniques, and individualized education plans for each handicapped child.

The Law Center is actively challenging the state's implementation of the PARC decree in federal court. With our organizational clients the Law Center has prepared handbooks on the Right to Education, on implementation of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and on Vocational Rehabilitation Rights. We have conducted six intensive training programs attended by more than 500 parents, lawyers and lay advocates so that these rights may be achieved throughout the state.
Fair Housing Access. In a case which has become a national precedent for protecting the integrity of integrated neighborhoods (Shannon v. HUD), the Law Center established the duty of federal housing officials to consider, and act upon, the effects of federal-assisted housing decisions upon integration and secured nearly 82 million in federal funds and guarantees for one of Philadelphia's integrated neighborhoods.

The Quality of the Environment. For the area lving associations and environmental groups, we are in court to force Pennsylvania to require automobile inspection and maintenance, the single most effective strategy to reduce auto pollution. The Philadelphia Sunday Bulletin editorialized that New Jersey's Sunday Bulletin editorialized that New Jersey lowered carbon levels by 14\% by auto inspections and produced gasoline savings too. Auto inspection would create many jobs in neighborhood and other service stations.

In four administrative proceedings and two court cases we are fighting to keep toxic chemicals and heavy metals out of the Delaware and Schuykill Rivers, and to expedite upgrading of Philadelphia's sewage treatment plants which will provide many construction jobs.

Counselling environmental organizations, we assisted them in limiting damage to the Susquehanna River and environs from a nuclear plant operating there in preserving the Tinicum Marsh and the free-flowing Delaware River at Tocks Island, and in establishing a large park in Delaware County and a bike path from Independence Hall to Valley Forge.

Transportation Policy. On behalf of 11 citywide groups we are fighting the legality of the decision-making process and priorities which resulted in $240 million in federal funds (the largest federal grant in Philadelphia's history) being spent on the Center City Commuter Rail Tunnel. The community groups seek to utilize the funds for more productive transit projects while maintaining the jobs which such spending is expected to create.

Health Policy. The Law Center framed the successful suit preventing the dismemberment of the five county regional Health Systems Agency, counselled several union-based groups of unemployed workers in establishing Hill-Burton Act rights to health care, and represented the Citizens Coalition for Philadelphia General Hospital in problems surrounding PGH's closing.
Police Abuse. Two national commissions — the President's Crime Commission and the National Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals — have recommended a publicly accountable and open police disciplinary system to control abuse of civilians by police officers, by investigating complaints, pursuing traditional legal remedies and issuing public reports. The Law Center seeks to cause the Philadelphia Police Department to create an effective disciplinary system.

During the two years that the Law Center has created and operated the Bar Association's panel to represent victims of police abuse, over $1,500,000 have been won in verdicts and settlements in cases brought both by panel attorneys and others. We expect that these results — besides compensating victims — will exert pressure on the City to establish a disciplinary system to avoid such costs.

Over a dozen injunctive actions brought by the Law Center seek to make the ineffectiveness of the Police Department's current disciplinary process a matter of public record, to limit the occasions for police abuse by modifying pre-arrest and juvenile detention procedures, to clarify legal definitions of reasonable force and constitutional limits on the use of deadly force, and to establish the removal of a police officer as the proper remedy for chronically abusive behavior by an officer.

The Law Center's public report on use of deadly force by the Philadelphia Police Department was relied upon by the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals in a precedent setting case defining constitutional limits on the use of deadly force. The same study was the basis of an award winning three part series published in the Philadelphia Inquirer.
Building the Public Interest Bar

From its inception, the Law Center has taken as one of its purposes the development of an economic base for the practice of public interest law which will allow the private bar in general to provide representation to people who wish to assert public rights.

As such structures are developed, the Law Center can concentrate on other areas. Thus the Law Center for several years recruited and trained more than 100 private practitioners to handle discrimination cases before turning that referral function over to the Bar Association. Similarly, we have trained nearly 100 other lawyers, published a manual for the "Conduct of Section 1983 Actions", and operate the Bar Association's referral panel for police civil damage actions.

As the law is developed in the areas of disability law, elderly law, environmental law and the law of municipal accountability and the economic underpinnings of practice in those areas are put in place, the Law Center will train members of the private bar so that the number of lawyers available to our clients will expand.

Who We Are

The Law Center staff includes fifteen lawyers. Most have had substantial prior experience in civil rights, corporate, financial, criminal, and public interest litigation. Several have taught at major law schools and centers of community medicine. Some have served in ranking city, state and federal administrative posts. Others have written leading texts, edited professional journals, and done investigative reporting.

Investigators, social scientists, community workers and consultants are essential to the Law Center’s ability to fully serve its clients. The firm currently has ten such other professionals with an average of eight years’ experience in their fields.

The Law Center’s chief administrative officer was deputy director and acting director of the Urban Coalition and for seven years served as deputy director of commerce and deputy city representative for the City of Philadelphia.
Ultimate responsibility for major policy decisions at the Law Center rests with its Board of Directors and executive committee. Membership in these two groups spans a wide range of professions, interests and ethnic origins and includes ex-officio officers of the Philadelphia Bar Association.

Edwin D. Wolf was the first executive director of the Law Center and founded its predecessor, the Philadelphia chapter of the Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law.
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Public Interest Law Center Litigation Gets Results

When government agencies fail to comply with the law, the Law Center has brought suits which have successfully required the government to be responsive to the law and to the needs of its citizens.

Despite 5 congressional mandates, it took litigation by the Law Center to make the Department of Transportation act upon its obligation to require all new buses be fully accessible to elderly and handicapped persons. Reporting the victory, the Wall Street Journal on May 19, 1977 stated:

> Carter administration sources noted that one pressure on the Transportation Secretary came from legal actions by groups representing handicapped persons to get “equal access” to public transportation.

> Referring to a spate of recent suits on this issue, the official says, “the handicapped people were pretty much negotiating with a gun on the table.”

Forty-two new three-bedroom houses were dedicated in July 1977 as part of a $2 million settlement of the Law Center's six year lawsuit charging that the U.S. Department of Housing had damaged the East Poplar community by its policies. The Philadelphia Inquirer reported:

> “These houses would have never been built if it wasn’t for the Court’s decision.”

In August 1977 Pennsylvania, because of its budget impasse, attempted to discontinue a program which provides vital supplemental food to pregnant women, nursing mothers and infants in order to reduce the incidence of retardation and other disabilities. The Evening Bulletin reported that Law Center litigation forced the program to be restored within two days. That lawsuit was the only successful reversal of any program reductions by the state during the budget crisis and resulted in approximately 30,000 women and infants throughout Pennsylvania receiving $655,000 in food supplements.
The Economics of Public Interest Law

There is no sustained funding for public interest law. The Law Center relies on contributions from attorneys, foundations and the citizenry at large. IRS regulations prohibit payments by clients.

Particular projects have been supported by restricted grants from the Pennsylvania Developmental Disabilities Planning Council, the Governor’s Justice Commission and the federal Department of Health, Education and Welfare. But government grants depend on annual approval and appropriation and do not cover such vital areas as employment discrimination, the environment, Hispanic affairs and government accountability.

Cases are expensive and our opponents fight hard. Our most recent trial cost over $70,000 in out-of-pocket expenses, not including professional or staff salaries.

In the three years of its existence, the Law Center, working on a shoestring, has compiled an outstanding track record against agencies and institutions with vastly greater resources. Contributions, however, are presently not sufficient to carry the Law Center’s work forward, and our financial future is uncertain.

ALL CONTRIBUTIONS ARE TAX DEDUCTIBLE. Any individual or organization contemplating a contribution or grant is welcome to contact the Administrative Officer or Chief Counsel for any further information.

WITH YOUR HELP, WE INTEND TO CONTINUE AS ADVOCATES IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST. WE HOPE THAT YOU WILL JOIN WITH US.